

TIME	ITEM		
5:00 p.m.	Accommodation Review Committee (ARC) members met to complete the Valuation Tools.		
7:10 p.m.	 Welcome/Opening Remarks Ann Sutton, Superintendent of Education, introduced herself as Chair and thanked everyone for attending. 		
7:10 p.m.	 Opening Prayer Ms. Sutton opened the meeting with prayer. Housekeeping issues were addressed: tripping hazards, beverages, washrooms, emergency exits, etc. 		
7:17 p.m.	 Introductions – Ms. Sutton The members of the ARC introduced themselves. Maureen Beauregard, ARC member representing St. Patrick's Catholic High School Elaine Hayter, ARC member representing St. Patrick's Catholic High School Jane Spanton, ARC member representing St. Patrick's Catholic High School Caroline Brant, ARC member representing St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School Janet Chynces, ARC member representing St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School Candice Etienne, ARC member representing St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School Candice Etienne, ARC member representing the parishes Robert Kardas, Dean of Business and Sports Administration at Lambton College, community representative Jack Evers, Prime Minister of St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School student council, representing students (non-voting member) Brenda Thompson, Deputy Prime Minister of St. Patrick's Catholic High School student council, representing students (non-voting member) Bernadette Gray, Principal, St. Patrick's Catholic High School, resource to the committee Brenda Thompson, Deputy Prime Atholic Secondary School, resource to the committee Brenda Thompson, Deputy Prime Catholic High School, resource to the committee Brendatte Gray, Principal, St. Patrick's Catholic High School, resource to the committee Brenda Thompson, Calloway Associates, board consultant, facilitator and resource to the committee Fred Galloway, F.J. Galloway Associates, board consultant, facilitator and resource to the committee Bruch Hannah, Manager – Facility Services Karen Dolson, Coordinator – Planning Services Brenda Courey, Assistant Superintendent – Catholic Curriculum (Secondary) Martin Forster, Principal – Special Education Trustees were introduced <!--</th-->		



	 Ms. Sutton provided a brief overview of the accommodation review process relative to Ministry of Education guidelines and board policy.
7:19 p.m.	 Rules of Order All audience members should register their attendance on forms outside of the gym. The rules of order, as outlined in the agenda, will be followed.
	Notes of the meetings Notes will be taken by two recording secretaries and will be placed on the website within 10 working days after the meeting. The notes are not a verbatim transcript of the meeting.
	 Protocols Meeting protocols, as outlined in the agenda, will be followed.
	 Questions arising from Presentations ARC members may ask questions at the end of each presentation. One hour at the end of the meeting will be allotted for questions from the audience. Each person who wishes to ask a question will be allotted two minutes to do so.
7:20 p.m.	 Presentation of the January 20, 2009 notes These were posted on the board website within 10 days of the last meeting and the ARC members have hard copies of these in their packages. The responses to questions from the January 20, 2009 meeting, which required additional research from board resource personnel, were reviewed by Ms. Sutton. In response to a request by the ARC members at the January 20, 2009 meeting, Ms. Courey gave a short presentation on the essential programs, which are offered in our secondary school as a requirement of the Ministry of Education.
	 Questions from ARC members arising from previous meeting There were no questions.
7:40 p.m.	 Results of the Valuation Process – Mr. Fred Galloway Voting members of the ARC have completed the valuation tool this evening.



	Category	Points	St. Patrick's	St. Christopher	
	Value to the Students	45	38	43	
	Value to the Board	25	16	23	
	Value to the Community	20	19	17	
	Value to the Local Economy	10	9	9	
	Total	100	82	92	
7:50 p.m.	Considering the Options - Fred Gallo	way			
	 Mr. Galloway presented a Power 	Point presentation			
	 Two types of considerations nee 	d to be addressed			
	 Tier one - strategic direction 		d the board		
	 Tier two – cultural and legac 				
	 One school options were review 				
	 Two school options were review 				
	 Mr. Galloway presented an Alter 	native Matrix Table	9.		
	 Question – Ms. Hayter – to 12 schools shown as a interest in this model that Response – Ms. Sutton 	in option? It was n it would not be co	ny understanding than nsidered as an optio	it due to the very sma n.	
	 Mr. Galloway asked if there were some options on the Alternative Matrix which the ARC members were now 				
		e some options on	the Alternative Matri	x which the ARC men	nbers were now
	prepared to remove as options.				
	prepared to remove as options.Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl	nool Alternative the			
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A 	nool Alternative the RC.	St. Christopher / St	Anne's Campus opti	on should be removed.
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything	St. Christopher / St	Anne's Campus opti the elementary schoo	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Sch Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr	Anne's Campus opti the elementary schoo ade 7 to 9 School and	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two School options should be remov 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch ed. Removed by c	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr onsensus of the AR	<i>Anne's Campus</i> opti the elementary schoo ade 7 to 9 School and C.	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Sch Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two School options should be remov Mr. Kardas – Under the One Sch 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch ed. Removed by c nool Alternative the	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr onsensus of the AR Greenfield School s	Anne's Campus opti the elementary schoo ade 7 to 9 School and C. hould be removed.	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the d <i>One Grade 10 to 12</i>
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two School options should be remov Mr. Kardas – Under the One Scl Question - Ms. Beaurega 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch ed. Removed by c nool Alternative the rd – Not enough di	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr onsensus of the AR Greenfield School s scussion by the AR	Anne's Campus opti the elementary schoo ade 7 to 9 School and C. hould be removed. C regarding these opti	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the d <i>One Grade 10 to 12</i>
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces - the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two School options should be remov Mr. Kardas - Under the One Scl Question - Ms. Beaurega money come from if the decided 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch ed. Removed by c nool Alternative the rd – Not enough di ecision is to either	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr onsensus of the AR Greenfield School s scussion by the ARC renovate or to build a	Anne's Campus opti the elementary school ade 7 to 9 School and C. hould be removed. C regarding these opti a new school?	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the d <i>One Grade 10 to 12</i> ons. Where will the
	 prepared to remove as options. Ms. Etienne - under the One Scl Removed by consensus of the A Ms. Chynces – the ARC decided Two School Alternative the Two School options should be remov Mr. Kardas – Under the One Scl Question - Ms. Beaurega 	nool Alternative the RC. I not to do anything Grade 7 to 12 Sch ed. Removed by c nool Alternative the rd – Not enough di ecision is to either e – Based on the c	St. Christopher / St. which would affect ools and the One Gr onsensus of the AR Greenfield School s scussion by the ARC renovate or to build a surrent funding mode	Anne's Campus opti the elementary school ade 7 to 9 School and C. hould be removed. C regarding these opti a new school?	on should be removed. Is therefore, under the d <i>One Grade 10 to 12</i> ons. Where will the



be M M ef M M M M Su Su Fr A Fr	 s. Chynces – Under the <i>Two School Alternatives</i> the <i>Integrated Catholic/Public Secondary School</i> option should a removed. Removed by consensus of the ARC. r. Galloway asked if there were any other options which the ARC would like to recommend and discuss. s. Chynces – If we are considering the option of <i>Consolidate at St. Patrick's</i> we need to know if this will be cost fective due to the renovations and repairs which the site will require. s. Beauregard - would like to explore <i>Consolidate at St. Patrick's</i> option further. s. Etienne and Fr. Matthew would like to further explore <i>Sustain Current Two Schools with Upgrades</i> s. Hayter – <i>Sustain Current Two Schools with Upgrades</i> and <i>Build a Smaller New / Adapt an Existing Building</i> to istain a Catholic Secondary School in South Sarnia seem to be very similar. s. Chynces – Would like to separate <i>Build a Smaller New / Adapt an Existing Building</i> to sustain a Catholic Secondary school in South Sarnia seem to be very similar. s. Chynces – Would like to consider a primary campus secondary school at the St. Christopher site with a satellite armous in south Sarnia as an additional option. Question - Ms. Etienne – if we choose to build a Smaller New School would we be creating two distinct schools or is the new build to be a satellite campus?
	 Response – Mr. Galloway – This would be one distinct school with a satellite campus or two distinct schools, which was the original intent of this recommendation.
■ Fr	. Matthew and Ms. Beauregard would like further discussion on this option.
Discussi	on of remaining options
	• Question - Fr. Bedard – regarding consolidation of 2 schools into one existing school – could we run two 9-12 schools out of one building with different set of timetables to allow for overcrowding? Is there a regulatory framework around the start and end times of the school day?
	 Response – Mr. McKenzie – does not know of any such regulations. – Ms. Sutton – not aware of any regulations regarding start and end times of the school day.
	 Ms. Hayter – if that model is used it would negate sports, clubs and most extracurricular activities for students.
	 Question - Mr. Kardas – is this option being considered due to the capacity issue which will occur when two schools are consolidated?
	 Response – Fr. Matthew – we need to offer quality, faith-filled education to the students of Lambton County. The consolidation of two schools might be a way of providing this. We are looking at things in terms of 5 years and then there would be another ARC.
	• Response – Ms. Sutton – There is no mandate to hold an ARC every 5 years.
	 Question – Mr. Kardas – Due to the high renewal costs at St. Patrick's would we be prevented from keeping the school open?
	 Response – Mr. McKenzie – No. Anything we can do to reduce that number would be looked on favourably



by the Ministry, but sometimes it reaches the point where it is no longer cost effective to do the repairs.

• Mr. Galloway we need to consider the strengths and concerns for the remaining options.

Consolidation at St. Patrick's		
Strengths	Concerns	
Preserves the tradition/presence of a long-standing school community	Requires significant capital funding for both renewal and expansion	
Has the lowest bussing requirements amongst any of the consolidation options	Site constraints could influence the scope of expansion and costs	
Preserves proximity access to a premiere track facility	Could result in a loss of students from the north Sarnia area	

- Question Mr. Kardas Due to the constant concern of losing students to the public board, and the number of students we lost when St. Helen's closed, is there anything in common with this review and the St. Helen's review?
- **Response** Ms. Sutton This accommodation review is a very different format from the St. Helen's format. This ARC is composed of members of the community who will make a judicious, studied response for the board to review. The only other review for this area, which has been conducted in this way, was the South Sarnia Elementary review process last year.
- Mr. McKenzie The process has now changed and allows for greater community input, as the ARC members make recommendations, which are reviewed and considered by the Board of Trustees.

Consolidation at St. Christopher		
Strengths	Concerns	
Good site in terms of accessing both the county and the city	Could result in some social/economic representation challenges within the Catholic students population; particularly from the south Sarnia area	
Builds on a strong, contemporary facility in terms of an elevator, science labs, specialized spaces, etc.	There would be increased bussing requirements and associated costs	
Would locate the high school in Sarnia's highest growth residential area	The location is not central to the city, which has the most significant population base	
Represents a less costly capital development initiative	Potential loss of the history/tradition of St. Patrick's, depending on naming and other considerations	



	Schools with Upgrades
Strengths	Concerns
Catholic presence remains strong, particularly in south Sarnia	If enrolment continues to decline at or near forecasted levels, both schools could fall below the 80% operatio funding threshold, resulting in significant financial challenges for the Board to sustain the schools and fo other commitments
Sustains known locations that have significant uniqueness and tradition	Significant capital costs to renew St. Patrick's and to develop any additions to one or both schools, if requir
The two schools possibility could be themed/focused or develop specialties to differentiate them and to build/enhance curriculum capacities	Program concerns would continue emerge and become more significant as school enrolment falls.
Sustains the high pedestrian access currently in place at St. Patrick's, thus reducing overall bussing costs	
Could provide more extra curricular opportunities in terms of number of teams, clubs, etc.	
Could reduce the prospects of losing students to other boards, and may be a foundation to attract Catholic students back from the public school system	
The Magnet Program could continue and could be expanded to enrich overall curricular options and flexibility	
 boards, and may be a foundation to attract Catholic students back from the public school system The Magnet Program could continue and could be expanded to enrich overall curricular options and flexibility Question – Fr. Matthew – are we looking at oth Sustaining Current Two Schools with Upgrades 	ntly listed were brought forward by the ARC with input



Develop a smaller school facility on the	St. Patrick's site/Sustain St. Christopher
Strengths	Concerns
Results in a new contemporary secondary school facility in	A short-term transitional move would be required durin
South Sarnia, sized to enrolment projections	redevelopment phase for construction
Continues the PEOPEL Program (this also could continued to be available in other scenarios)	Significant capital costs would be incurred to demolish construct a new facility
Could preserve the tradition of the St. Patrick's school	Possibility of curriculum and extra curricular limitations
community	could evolve due to the small school population, especified if it was oriented towards students who walk, which co
	be in the 400-500 student range
	Does not address potential challenges if the St. Christopher enrolment falls below 80% in the 2013-20 timeframe.
	e in South Sarnia/sustain St. Christopher
A new smaller St. Patrick's on a new site Strengths	e in South Sarnia/sustain St. Christopher Concerns
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that	Concerns
Strengths	Concerns
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a fur distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furt
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs Would not have to relocate students over a one or two year period to facilitate construction of a new facility	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furt distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe at St. Christopher if it falls below the 80% threshold in 2013-2015 timeframe.
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs Would not have to relocate students over a one or two year period to facilitate construction of a new facility One School at St. Christop	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furt distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe at St. Christopher if it falls below the 80% threshold in 2013-2015 timeframe.
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs Would not have to relocate students over a one or two year period to facilitate construction of a new facility One School at St. Christop Strengths	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furl distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe at St. Christopher if it falls below the 80% threshold in 2013-2015 timeframe. her with a Satellite Campus Concerns
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs Would not have to relocate students over a one or two year period to facilitate construction of a new facility One School at St. Christop Strengths Would be cost more effective than a new build as board already owns sites	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furth distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe at St. Christopher if it falls below the 80% threshold in 2013-2015 timeframe. her with a Satellite Campus Concerns St. Patrick's track would not be on school site
Strengths The Board owns some properties in south Sarnia that possibly could be utilized, thus reducing capital costs Would not have to relocate students over a one or two year period to facilitate construction of a new facility One School at St. Christop Strengths Would be cost more effective than a new build as board	Concerns Proximity access to the track would be extended a furl distance Does not address a potential enrolment decline challe at St. Christopher if it falls below the 80% threshold in 2013-2015 timeframe. her with a Satellite Campus Concerns



•	Mr. Galloway asked if the ARC wished to remove any of the remaining 6 options from consideration.
•	Mr. Evers – the One School at St. Christopher with a Satellite Campus should be removed. Removed by consensus
	of the ARC.
•	Ms. Brant – some of the alternatives revolve around cost and we don't have that information.
	 Question - Mr. Kardas – Do we know how many catholic students currently attend school in the public Board?
	 Response – Ms. Dolson – We do not have this information, as we are only able to track this information once students enter our system.
	 Ms. Sutton – We lose 25% to the public board from grade 8 to grade 9
	• Question – Fr. Matthew – Can we also look at the number of Catholics who attend public schools? Could the census be used to tabulate this information?
	 Response – Ms. Dolson – The board does not have those statistics and the census would not give an accurate representation.
	• Ms. Chynces – We need to look at the costs involved in the different options of renovating or building.
	Question – Mr. Galloway – What level of costing could be done for the different models?
	 Response – Mr. McKenzie – Very high level estimates from architects which would not be all that accurate and would be a long process.
	 Fr. Matthew – While we need to be cost conscious, fearing the cost too much may be detrimental to the decision which will be made.
	• Question – Ms. Hayter – Was there a cost analysis done for the South Sarnia Elementary Schools?
	• Response – Ms. Chynces – Some of the cost analysis was provided to the ARC. This ARC does need some idea of what these costs will be.
	• Question – Mr. Galloway – Is it a preference of this ARC that some sort of cost analysis be provided to them?
	 Response – Fr. Matthew – Yes, this would be a useful tool. It was the consensus of the ARC to ask the board to provide some type of cost analysis.
	 Question – Ms. Hayter – Is it only the ARC who are permitted to ask questions?
	• Response – Ms. Sutton – This portion of the meeting is for discussion by ARC members only; however,
	members of the public may ask questions at the end of the meeting.
	 Question – Ms. Spanton – Where did the 8 million dollar cost for retrofit come from?
	 Response – Mr. McKenzie – Most of it comes from the renewal data which is kept by the Ministry and we added some renewal costs which we know will have to be done i.e. HVAC mechanical system.
	 Question – Ms. Etienne – By choosing any of the Two School Alternatives, what kind of programming will be at these smaller schools?



 Response – Ms. Courey – With a population of about 400 students at one of the schools programming would be very difficult. Some courses might have to be completed by students using a teleconferencing or elearning system, which would create problems for students, who could not interact with teachers, as they do in a regular classroom. Some students in their senior year might not be able to take courses, which they would require for their post secondary education. Mr. Forster – With a smaller school there will be limits as to programming which could be offered to students with special needs.
 Mr. Kardas – It would appear that both Tier one decisions which are the strategic direction for the school and board and Tier two decisions which are cultural issues are both very important to make these decisions. Question – Mr. Kardas - Are we mandated to come up with a Tier one decision and then the board will decide the Tier two issues?
 Response – Mr. Galloway – You have a mandate to recommend a strategy and also to make other recommendations around that strategy.
 Question – Mr. Galloway – How do we address the possibility of St. Christopher falling below 80% capacity in the Two Schools options?
 Response – Fr. Matthew – We take comfort in knowing that we are just working with projections and that there is continued growth in that area.
 Ms. Chynces – We need to use the information we have received to make our decision.
 Mr. Galloway – The ARC is mandated to make strategic recommendations based on the best information we currently have.
• Question – Fr. Matthew – If the ARC makes a recommendation and the board decides on a different action, can we make a secondary recommendation to the board?
• Response – Mr. Galloway – Yes, it is possible for the ARC to make a recommendation and also a secondary recommendation.
• Question – Ms. Chynces – Do we have to make a recommendation at the next meeting or can this process go on longer?
 Response – Ms. Sutton – The process can be extended.
 Question – Mr. Kardas – When St. Christopher was built were the projected demographics considered for the next 5-10 years?
• Response – Mr. McKenzie – In the recent past school boards did not do a lot of work around projections. The capacity for data collection and review was not as well developed. It was a different time and things were viewed differently. It has only been in the recent past that many boards have begun to experience declining enrolment. At the time of the St. Christopher build, there was a huge capacity issue in Sarnia. There was a



	definite need for a second Catholic secondary school at the time. Enrolment began to decline subsequent to that decision.
	 Financial Framework Requested by ARC members Mr. Galloway – Does the ARC need more financial information and more programming information for the next meeting to enable us to come to a singular recommendation? Question - Ms. Hayter – Could we have the financial information requested a couple of weeks prior to the next meeting in order to be able to process all the information? Response – Ms. Sutton – The process time may be problematic but if the information is available prior to the next meeting it will be sent out by her office. Question: Ms. Chynces – Do we have to make a decision at the next meeting? Response: Ms. Sutton – We will take more time if needed.
9:45 p.m.	 The Financial Framework Requested would consist of: Include 5 remaining options: 2 consolidated options; 2 new building options and sustaining both school campuses. Mr. Galloway reminded the ARC that eventually they need to get down to one clear option. Decision to end meeting and to move remaining Agenda items to the next meeting Agenda
9:45 p.m.	 Presentation of the proposed agenda – May 5, 2009 – St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School Proposed agenda for the May 5, 2009 ARC was presented by Ms. Sutton. Agenda will be modified to incorporate the parts of the March agenda not completed at tonight's meeting. The May 5, 2009 meeting may not be the final meeting.
9:50 p.m.	Questions from student representatives (non-voting members)
	Question – Brenda Thompson – St. Patrick's Catholic High School No questions
	Question – Jack Evers – St. Christopher Secondary School No questions
	Questions from the floor.



Question – Michelle Lalonge-Davey - Parent Has the hospital been involved in paying for any part of St. Patrick's parking lot restoration and if so is there any agreement in place for the hospital to buy the St. Patrick's property?

Answer – Mr. McKenzie - Work was done a number of years ago and hospital paid a significant portion of the cost. The agreement made was that the board would provide the hospital with 35 parking spaces and if we ever required those spaces back we would then need to pay the hospital for the number of parking spaces. There would be a financial obligation to the hospital if we change the use. There has not been any discussion of the hospital purchasing the school land.

Comment – Michelle Lalonge-Davey – Parent

Whatever recommendations the ARC may wish to make to Trustees, it is important to be mindful of the longstanding commitment in Catholic education to the inclusion of all learners.

Question – Pat Brown – Parent and Former Teacher

Is there any way that we can determine how much revenue the board would loose if one of the schools was to close?

Answer – Mr. McKenzie – Although a decline in student numbers is always viewed as a loss for our Board, in terms of revenue it is cost neutral, because as revenues decline with enrolment, so does cost, so that one is offset by the other.

Question – Pat Brown – Parent and Former Teacher

Is anyone in our board privy to any knowledge as to whether our co-terminus board is planning on having an ARC for the St. Clair Secondary School and SCITS?

Answer – Mr. McKenzie – not aware of any plans of that nature. Ms. Sutton - those decisions are made in public forum by board members at board meetings so it would be public knowledge.

Question – Frank Torti - Teacher

With reference to the number of students who left our system when St. Helen school closed, has there been any thought to polling the parents of both St. Christopher and St. Patrick's schools to ask if they would move to the public board if particular decisions are made.

Ms. Hayter – I asked if there could be a survey of the grade 7 and 8 feeder schools to see what their plans are.

Mr. Kardas - the notion of survey is interesting but caution needs to be exercised regarding a survey as this is such an emotional issue. Would people answer honestly or would they answer emotionally?



	 Mr. Galloway – our firm and others do extensive professional survey work and some caution needs to be exercised because if it is not a random survey it becomes skewed. All the conditions would need to be known if you want to get an informed response. Answer – Ms. Sutton – this is not something that has been considered. Senior Administration would make the decision as to whether there would be this type of survey. The questions were asked during the focus groups and there did not seem to be an issue.
	Question – Frank Torti - Teacher How can we draw students to the Catholic board? Do you know roughly the number of students from the Public board who come to our Catholic secondary schools in Sarnia?
	Answer – Mr. Deery – St. Christopher had approximately 30 students transfer from the public board last year and about the same number this year. – Ms. Gray – St. Patrick's had 14 or 15 with fluctuation during the school year. The demographics show that the number of young people in the core area of Sarnia has lessened in the past few years.
10:00 p.m.	Closing Prayer Ms. Sutton led the closing prayer.
	Future ARC Meeting Dates Tuesday, May 5, 2009 – St. Christopher Catholic Secondary School